

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Kamat Towers, seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji, Goa

Shri Prashant S. P. Tendolkar,
State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No.118/SCIC/2017

Shri Hilario Lobo

R/o H.NO.190, Volvaddo, Pilerne,

Bardez-Goa.

.....

Appellant

V/s

1) The Public Information Officer,
Administrator of Comunidade,
Near Mapusa Court, Bardez-Goa.

2) The First Appellate Authority,
Additional Collector-II,
Panaji -Goa.

.....

Respondents

Filed on :07/08/2017

Disposed on:14/12/2018

ORDER

1) The appellant herein by his application, dated 25/07/2016, filed u/s 6(1) of The Right to Information Act 2005 for short, sought certain information from the Respondent No.1, PIO under several points therein.

2) The said application was not responded to by the PIO within time and as such deeming the same as refusal appellant filed first appeal to the respondent No.2, being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).

3) The FAA, by order, dated 15/02/2017, allowed the said appeal and directed PIO to furnish the information.

4) In spite of the said order the PIO failed to furnish the information and hence appellant has landed before this Commission in this second appeal u/s 19(3) of the act.

...2/-

5) Notices were issued to the parties. The notice sent to the FAA was responded by it vide its reply dated 29/09/2017. Though served through office, PIO did not contest the appeal nor appeared before the Commission in the course of hearing. In view of the absence of the PIO inspite of service, the submissions of appellant solely could be heard and matter was posted for orders.

6) On 30/11/2017 the representative of PIO appeared and sought time to file reply and hence the orders were deferred. On 07/02/2018 PIO filed his reply alongwith the copies of purported information. Copy of the same was furnished to the appellant. On all the subsequent dates the appellant failed to appear. There is no memo submitted by appellant that the information as furnished is not the one as sought by him. He has remained absent all throughout thereafter.

7) The appellant in the present appeal has prayed for orders directing furnishing of information. In view of the fact that PIO has furnished the same nothing further remains to be decided.

8) In the above circumstances the appeal is disposed as the information sought is duly furnished. However the rights of appellant to seek further/additional information on the subject are kept open.

Notify the parties.

Proceedings closed.

Pronounced in open hearing.

Sd/-

(Shri. P. S.P. Tendolkar)
Chief Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission
Panaji –Goa

